Evaluation form of the course HPC and BigData 2015

1. Preliminary:
   1.1 Your affiliation / study program:

2. Planning
   2.1 The planning of the workshops
   poor □ □ □ good
   2.2 The amount of topics covered
   little □ □ □ much
   2.3 Would the course in your study/research/work
   little use □ □ □ very useful
   2.4 Explanation/Comment on Planning:

3. Course Material
   3.1 I give the following grade to the slides/literature (1=very bad, 5=very good):
   3.2 Access to the computing facilities (1=very bad, 5=very good):
   2.4 Explanation/Comment on course material:
4. Study Load:

4.1. The number of credits compared to the level and the amount of work for this course (only for students).

little [ ] [ ] [ ] much [ ]

4.2. How many hours on average per week did you spend on this course. (Please take into account: lectures, hands-on, and self-study)

☐ <8 h  ☐ 8-12h  ☐ 12-16h
☐ 16-19h  ☐ 19-23h  ☐ 23-26h
☐ >26h

5. Workshops:

List of workshops: (1) Introduction to HPC and Big Data, (2) Introduction to Unix, (3) Using Cartesius and lisa, (4) HPC cloud, (5) MapReduce and Hadoop, (6) GPU programming, (7) MPI/OpenMP, (8) Remote visualization.

In the following table give each workshop a grade (1=very bad, 5=very good):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Description</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1. The Presentations are clear and well structured, and give a good theoretical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>background for the topic covered in the workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2. The Hands-on are clear and useful to better understand the topic covered in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3. The workshop organizers are well prepared and are qualified to teach the topic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>covered in the workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4. Give a grade to the workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Which workshop should be stopped or replaced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6 Explanation/Comment on workshops
6. Assessment:

1. My interest in the subject has decreased [ ] [ ] [ ] increased

2. In the course I have learned little [ ] [ ] [ ] much

3. All in all I give the course the following grade (1=very bad, 5=very good):

4. Does the course fulfill your expectations (yes/no):

5. Would you suggest this course to another student/colleague (yes/no):

7. Additional Remarks:


